

some comments on Zeitgeistism

Even those who are unable to accept the authority of the Bible or to use the word “sinful” with conviction would like to have some better justification for the government’s attempt to redefine marriage than that it is timely.

What is Mr Cameron’s “it is because we are Conservatives” that we should overturn the traditional understanding of marriage but Orwellian newspeak, now found to be as at home in parliamentary democracy as one-party totalitarianism?

Two other phrases expressive of Zeitgeistism are “in (or out of) touch” and “does (or doesn’t) get it”.

“Back in” seems to me as usable for last month as last millennium, usable, in fact, for *any* point in the past, however recent. It advertises the speaker’s consciousness of the immense advantage the present instant has over all past instants, along with his unconsciousness that it is just about to become one of them. *Duke Maskell*

to return to home page click on www.edgewaysbooks.com